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Acronyms and abbreviations

IAASB  International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board
IAIG  Internal Audit and Investigations Group
IPSAS  International Public Sector Accounting Standards
OC  Operations Centre
PC  Project Centre
RO  Regional Office
UNDP  United Nations Development Programme
UNOPS  United Nations Office for Project Services
US$  United States Dollars
Executive summary

The engagement context
The Internal Audit and Investigations Group (IAIG) of the United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS), through BDO LLP (“the audit firm”), conducted an audit of the project ‘Modernization and Improvement of Policing Project’ (one UNOPS project ID 93090), which is implemented and managed by the UNOPS Nepal Operation Hub. The audit firm was under the general supervision by IAIG in conformance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.

The project reported expenditure amounting to US$ 7,122,707 during the period from 1 January to 31 December 2020.

Audit objectives
The overall objective of the audit was to assess the management of the project operations to obtain reasonable assurance towards the achievement of the project objectives.

The areas of focus included:
- a) Effective, efficient and economical use of resources;
- b) Reliability of reporting;
- c) Safeguarding of assets; and
- d) Compliance with applicable legislation.

The purpose of the audit was to provide reasonable assurance that:
- a) Client/donor contributions and project expenditure are properly accounted for;
- b) Project expenditure was incurred in accordance with the contribution agreement, and is supported by adequate documentation; and
- c) The related financial statements prepared by UNOPS for the year under review present a fair view of the operations.

In particular, the audit firm provided an overall assessment of the operational and internal control systems that are in place for the management of the project so that related transactions are processed in accordance with UNOPS policies and procedures to achieve the project’s objectives.

Audit scope
The audit firm conducted the audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing issued by the IAASB and UNOPS internal audit practices, and in consideration of the requirements of International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS).

Audit rating
Based on the audit report and corresponding management letter submitted by the audit firm, IAIG assessed the management of the project as satisfactory, which means, “The assessed governance arrangements, risk management practices and controls were adequately established and functioning well. Issues identified by the audit, if any, are unlikely to affect the achievement of the objectives of the audited entity/area”. The details of the audit results are presented in Tables 1 and 2.
Table 1: Summary results of the financial audit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Project no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modernisation and Improvement of Policing Project</td>
<td>1 January to 31 December 2020</td>
<td>93090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial statement</th>
<th>Statement of non-expendable property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount US$</td>
<td>Opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,122,707</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,198</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Internal control rating summary for project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional area</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement and supply chain</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General administration</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall rating of internal control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Satisfactory</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key issues and recommendations

The audit raised no issues or recommendations.

Signed:

Robert Waters
Partner
BDO LLP

6 October 2021
Internal Audit and Investigations Group

Operational overview

The United Kingdom (UK) has been investing in improving access to security and justice for the poor in Nepal for several years. It has supported the reconstruction of war-damaged police posts to re-establish police presence (under the Nepal Peace Trust Fund), technical advice on police strategy, the provision of dispute mediation services and the creation of over a thousand community women’s groups to prevent and respond to sexual violence. DFID’s Integrated Programme for Strengthening Security and Justice (“Integrated Programme” – IPSSJ) aims to improve security and justice services for 1.5 million more poor people and ensure they can access justice.

Under the IPSSJ, the United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS) is implementing the Modernisation and Improvement of Policing Project (MIPP) services component. This component is targeted primarily at the Nepal Police. DFID Nepal and UNOPS signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in December 2014 to implement the MIPP under IPSSJ, steered by the Nepal Police. The MOU has been amended three times, in 2016, 2018 and in 2020 and has the following six outputs:

1. Modernised, gender-sensitive police units are in place for better public access to police services;
2. Strengthened operations and maintenance capacity for police facilities and equipment;
3. Strengthened Performance Management Systems (PMS) of National Police (NP) for effective delivery of policing services, particularly with respect to the protection from criminal violence and access to security and justice;
4. Strengthened performance systems of NP in relation to monitoring and evaluation, budgeting, and
5. Skills development and service delivery skills of police personnel are enhanced with special attention to Women and Children; and
6. Increased capabilities in forensic investigations.

The project is on-going and is expected to be completed by the end of 2021.

The audit team extends its appreciation to the management and staff members of the UNOPS office in Nepal for their full cooperation during the audit.
Annex I - Definitions

Standard audit ratings for overall performance of internal control system

Effective 1 January 2017, the internal audit services of UNDP, UNFPA, UNICEF, UNOPS and WFP adopted harmonized audit rating definitions, as described below. IAIG assesses the entity under review as a whole as well as the specific audit areas within the audited entity:

(a) satisfactory (effective),
(b) partially satisfactory (some improvement needed),
(c) partially satisfactory (major improvement needed), and
(c) unsatisfactory (ineffective).

The elements of the rating system take into account the audited office’s internal control system, risk management practices, and their impact on the achievement of office objectives.

The definitions of the ratings are, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard rating</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>The assessed governance arrangements, risk management practices and controls were adequately established and functioning well. Issues identified by the audit, if any, are unlikely to affect the achievement of the objectives of the audited entity/area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially satisfactory</td>
<td>The assessed governance arrangements, risk management practices and controls were generally established and functioning, but need some improvement. Issues identified by the audit do not significantly affect the achievement of the objectives of the audited entity/area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially satisfactory</td>
<td>The assessed governance arrangements, risk management practices and controls were established and functioning, but need major improvement. Issues identified by the audit could significantly affect the achievement of the objectives of the audited entity/area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>The assessed governance arrangements, risk management practices and controls were either not adequately established or not functioning well. Issues identified by the audit could seriously compromise the achievement of the objectives of the audited entity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Categories for priorities of audit recommendations

The audit observations are categorized according to the priority of the audit recommendations and the possible causes of the issues. The categorized audit observation provides a basis by which the UNOPS country office management is to address the issues.

The following categories of priorities are used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Prompt action is considered imperative to ensure that UNOPS is not exposed to high risks (that is, where failure to take action could result in critical or major consequences for the organization).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Action is considered necessary to avoid exposure to significant risks (that is, where failure to take action could result in significant consequences).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Action is considered desirable and should result in enhanced control or better value for money.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible causes

The following categories of possible causes are used:

- **Guidelines**: absence of written procedures to guide staff in performing their functions;
  - Lack of or inadequate corporate policies or procedures
  - Lack of or inadequate RO/OC/PC policies or procedures
  - Inadequate planning
  - Inadequate risk management processes
  - Inadequate management structure
- **Guidance**: inadequate or lack of supervision by supervisors;
  - Lack of or inadequate guidance or supervision at the RO/OC/PC level
  - Inadequate oversight by Headquarters
- **Resources**: insufficient resources (funds, skill, staff) to carry out an activity or function;
  - Lack of or insufficient resources (financial, human, or technical resources)
  - Inadequate training
- **Human error**: Un-intentional mistakes committed by staff entrusted to perform assigned functions;
- **Intentional**: intentional overriding of internal controls;
- **Other**: Factors beyond the control of UNOPS.